

Questions and Answers for Networx Universal and Enterprise RFPs

#	Acquisition	RFP Section	Redacted Question	Redacted Answer
1047	Enterprise	C.3.3.1.2.6, B.6.4	We observe that Network Monitoring and Management (NMM) is optional in RFP Section C.3.3.1.2.6 but is mandatory in RFP Section B.6.4. Please make Sections B and C consistent.	Both Sections C and B state that it is mandatory to offer NMM. Section C.3.3.1.2.6 states that "Network Services Monitoring and Management is an optional capability, provided at additional cost to an Agency (see Section B.6.4) who requires real time performance information related to the health of the contractor's services for which the subscribing Agency has selected this optional capability. " Optional capability in this clause means that an Agency must order the optional capability, if it is required, at an additional cost. Section B.6.4 correctly requires the offeror to propose mandatory CLINs for NMM.
1048	Enterprise	C.2.5.3.1.4, C.2.5.3.2.1	Section C.2.5.3.1.4, Technical Capabilities for Dark Fiber Services (DFS), defines the configuration option Route Diversity Ring/Dual Drops as optional. However, Feature 5 "Diverse Route Dual Drop" in Section C.2.5.3.2.1, Features, is mandatory and seems to conflict with the DFS optional configuration requirement?	In Section C.2.5.3.1.4, Technical Capabilities, only a point to point connection is required as mandatory for Dark Fiber Service. This is the Government's minimum requirement for the DFS Transport CLINs offered in Section B.2.5.3.2. Additional capabilities, such as diverse route dual drop, are optional to provide and price as part of the DFS Transport prices (Section B.2.5.3.2). Diverse Route Dual Drop is also specified as a mandatory feature in section C.2.5.3.2.1, with mandatory pricing required in Table B.2.5.3.3-2.
1049	Enterprise	B.2.5.1.3-2	PLS Multipoint Connection features are marked optional in Section C but the CLINs in Section B are mandatory. Please make these sections consistent.	The PLS Multipoint Connection features are correctly identified as optional in Table C.2.5.1.2.1. The corresponding table in Section B, Table B.2.5.1.3-2, incorrectly identifies the multipoint connection feature CLINs 0139101 and 0139102 as mandatory. The Networx Hosting Center will be changed to make these CLINs optional. Section B will be amended to make the CLINs optional in a future amendment.
1050	Enterprise	L.34.1.8.1, NHC	The start of Section B.4.9.4 states one of the price elements is "NRC for an initial installation/delivery of a SED or outside move of a SED". However, in Table B.4.9.4-3, there is no column for this price element. This column does appear in the corresponding table for Wireline, Wireless, and Satellite SEDs. Will the Government add a column labeled "NRC CLIN for Installation or Delivery" to Table B.4.9.4-3?	Table B.4.9.4-3 was corrected in Amendment 4.

Questions and Answers for Networx Universal and Enterprise RFPs

#	Acquisition	RFP Section	Redacted Question	Redacted Answer
1051	Enterprise	L.34.1.8.1, NHC	<p>In Section L.34.1.8.1 the RFP states: "A separate input (numbered SEDs Suite) shall be submitted for each numbered requirement set. Each input shall: (a) Describe, for each numbered requirement set in Section J.5.1, the make, model, manufacturer's part number, offeror's catalog identifier, and offeror-selected DNRC CLIN of each priceable SED item proposed. Also, each SED item proposed shall be identified by its SED Type (i.e., whether it is stand-alone [S], a base unit of a packaged SED [B], or a configurable component for a packaged SED[C]), as such types are defined in Section B.4. The input requested (numbered SEDs Suite) shall be presented in the following format: (b) (b) Detail the quantity of each itemized, priceable SED in the proposed SED Suite needed to satisfy the specific requirements delineated in the requirement set. (c) Separately describe how the SEDs in each particular SEDs Suite that have been selected by the offeror and proposed in response to a particular requirement set, will best meet the customer-premises and mobile equipment and software requirements of the Government's diverse user population."</p> <p>To meet the requirements above, the contractor would like to offer more than one SED solution/option for each SED Suite. However, attempts to upload multiple options for an SED suite failed. The NHC Help Desk informed us that Table L.34.1-10 is configured to only accept one solution per SED suite. This is a constraint that is not in the RFP.</p> <p>Will the Government update the NHC SED tables so more than one solution can be proposed for a SED suite?</p>	<p>No, the Government requires that only one SEDs Suite be proposed per SEDs Requirement Set and has properly restricted submissions to the NHC, accordingly. The Government believes this restriction was made clear in the RFP where it states that "The offeror shall propose SEDs in response to the location-based and service-specific SEDs requirement sets defined in Sections J.5.1 and J.5.2. A separate proposed, numbered SEDs Suite shall be submitted for each numbered requirement set."</p> <p>For those optional services not referenced to a numbered Requirement Set, an offeror may propose up to 10 SEDs Suites, per offered optional service, consisting of stand-alone and/or packaged SEDs. These SEDs Suites are to be submitted in an appendix to the Technical proposal as permitted by Section L.33.3.</p>